

[Skip to main content](#)



Search SpringerLink

- [Home](#)
- [Log in](#)


Menu

- [Home](#)
- [Log in](#)

[Deep Learning in Data Analytics](#) pp 241-255 | [Cite as](#)

Cost Optimization of Software Quality Assurance

- [Authors](#)
- [Authors and affiliations](#)

- Soobia Saeed 
- N. Z. Jhanjhi
- Syed Mehmood Raza Naqvi
- Azeem Khan

- Soobia Saeed (1) [Email author](#)
- N. Z. Jhanjhi (2)
- Syed Mehmood Raza Naqvi (3)
- Azeem Khan (4)

1. Department of Software Engineering, Universiti Teknologi, Johor Bahru, Malaysia
2. School of Computing and IT, Taylor's University, Subang Jaya, Malaysia

3. Department of Computer Science, Sheridan College, Brampton, Canada

4. DP, Taylor's University, Subang Jaya, Malaysia

Chapter

First Online: 12 August 2021

- 36 Downloads

Part of the [Studies in Big Data](#) book series (SBD, volume 91)

Abstract

Software quality assurance constitutes an important share to total development over heads of an organization. Mainly anticipates around fifty percent to the costs could be endorsed for error recognition, and elimination that could be the capable area for charges optimization. Various practices could be usage for advance the quality of software. The main question in that context is then how to use these diverse methods in a charge reduction way. The main difficulty specified by quite a few researchers are prices and benefits of software quality assurance and also interrelationships to prompt causes are still not totally understood. The classic shows extra general than current logical mockups that will be capable to grip changed kinds of methods. The projected classic is more real than comparable economic mockups of software quality assurance. Since this one contains the practical aspects could influencers or recognition. The related data to the mockups is gathered through different writings to provide regular standards on behalf of different methods and faults. This will permit cooler software to model that carryout and found on the experimental records of mockup to focus the compassion examination consequential to the quantitative command in standing to the involvement issues. To conclude, a tactic to recognized efficiency constituents of a structure built proceeding processes of full strategy mockups permits concentrating the software quality assurance to those modules. An entire authentication stayed not truthful since the prototypical needs standardization completed a number of plans in a corporation to produce sensible expectations. More readings were accomplished in many areas and assessing altered methods in changed stages. Altogether, those readings delivered an obliging response on the prototypical and too funded to the frame of an acquaintance on the efficiency of the methods.

This is a preview of subscription content, [log in](#) to check access.

Cite chapter

- [How to cite?](#)
- [.RIS Papers Reference Manager RefWorks Zotero](#)
- [.ENW EndNote](#)
- [.BIB BibTeX JabRef Mendeley](#)

References

1.

Pendrill, L.R.: Using measurement uncertainty in decision-making and conformity assessment. *Metrologia* 51(4), S206 (2014)

[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)

2.

Slaughter, S.A., Harter, D.E., Krishnan, M.S.: Evaluating the cost of software quality. *Commun. ACM* 41(8), 67–73 (1998)

[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)

3.

Laporte, C.Y., Nabil, B., Mikel, D.: Measuring the cost of software quality of a large software project at bombardier transportation: a case study. *Softw. Qual. Manag.* 14(3), 14–31 (2012)

[Google Scholar](#)

4.

Zheng, W., Bundell, G.: Model based software component testing: a uml-based approach. In: *Proceedings of 6th IEEE International Conference on Computer and Information Science*, pp. 891–899 (2007)

[Google Scholar](#)

5.

Zheng, W.: *Model-Based Software Component Testing*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Western Australia (2012)

[Google Scholar](#)

6.

Zheng, W., Bundell, G.: Test by contract for UML-based software component testing. In: Proceedings of International Symposium on Computer Science and Its Applications, pp. 377–382 (2008)

[Google Scholar](#)

7.

Agrawal, M., Chari, K.: Software effort, quality, and cycle time: a study of CMM level 5 projects. IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng. 33(3), 145–156 (2007)

[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)

8.

Tian, J.: Software Quality Engineering: Testing, Quality Assurance, and Quantifiable Improvement. Wiley, New Jersey (2005)

[Google Scholar](#)

9.

Lazić, L., Kolašinac, A., Avdić, D.: The software quality economics model for software project optimization. WSEAS Trans. Comput. 8(1), 21–47 (2009)

[Google Scholar](#)

10.

Janzen, D., Saiedian, H.: Test-driven development concepts, taxonomy, and future direction. Computer 38(9), 43–50 (2005)

[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)

11.

Balaji, S., Murugaiyan, M.S.: Waterfall vs. V-Model vs. Agile: a comparative study on SDLC. Int. J. Inf. Technol. Bus. Manag. 2(1), 26–30 (2012)

[Google Scholar](#)

12.

Nidhra, S., Dondeti, J.: Black box and white box testing techniques-a literature review. Int. J. Embed. Syst. Appl. 2(2), 29–50 (2012)

[Google Scholar](#)

13.

- Fenton, N.E., Ohlsson, N.: Quantitative analysis of faults and failures in a complex software system. *IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng.* 26(8), 797–814 (2000)
[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)
14.
Boehm, B., Basili, V.R.: Top 10 list [software development]. *Computer* 34(1), 135–137 (2001)
[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)
15.
Boehm, B., Huang, L., Jain, A., Madachy, R.: The ROI of software dependability: the iDAVE model. *IEEE Softw.* 21(3), 54–61 (2004)
[CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)
16.
Galín, D.: Toward an inclusive model for the costs of software quality. *Softw. Qual. Prof.* 6(4), 25–31 (2004)
[Google Scholar](#)
17.
Jürjens, J., Wagner, S.: Component-based development of dependable systems with UML. In: *Component Based Software Development for Embedded Systems*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 320–344 (2005)
[Google Scholar](#)
18.
Harel, D., Katz, G., Marelly, R., Marron, A.: An initial wise development environment for behavioral models. In: *Proceedings of 4th International Conference on Model Driven Engineering and Software Development*, pp. 600–612 (2016)
[Google Scholar](#)
19.
Judy, K.H.: Agile principles and ethical conduct. In: *Proceedings of 42nd IEEE Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, pp. 1–8 (2009)
[Google Scholar](#)

Copyright information

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

About this chapter

[CrossMark](#)

Cite this chapter as:

Saeed S., Jhanjhi N.Z., Naqvi S.M.R., Khan A. (2022) Cost Optimization of Software Quality Assurance. In: Acharjya D.P., Mitra A., Zaman N. (eds) Deep Learning in Data Analytics. Studies in Big Data, vol 91. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75855-4_14

- First Online 12 August 2021
- DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75855-4_14
- Publisher Name Springer, Cham
- Print ISBN 978-3-030-75854-7
- Online ISBN 978-3-030-75855-4
- eBook Packages [Intelligent Technologies and Robotics Intelligent Technologies and Robotics \(Ro\)](#)
- [Buy this book on publisher's site](#)
- [Reprints and Permissions](#)

Actions

Chapter

EUR 24.95
Price excludes VAT

- DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-75855-4_14
- Instant PDF download
- Readable on all devices
- Own it forever
- Exclusive offer for individuals only

Buy Chapter

eBook

EUR 117.69

Hardcover Book

EUR 139.99

[Learn about institutional subscriptions](#)

Cite chapter

- [How to cite?](#)
- [.RIS Papers Reference Manager RefWorks Zotero](#)
- [.ENW EndNote](#)
- [.BIB BibTeX JabRef Mendeley](#)

Over 10 million scientific documents at your fingertips

Academic Edition

- [Academic Edition](#)
- [Corporate Edition](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Impressum](#)
- [Legal information](#)
- [Privacy statement](#)
- [California privacy statement](#)
- [How we use cookies](#)
- [Manage cookies/Do not sell my data](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Contact us](#)

[Springer Nature](#)

© 2020 Springer Nature Switzerland AG. Part of [Springer Nature](#).

Not logged in Not affiliated 202.185.166.155